

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,484	01/30/2006	Masashi Osanai	06062/LH	5151	
1933 7590 10/27/2008 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
220 Fifth Avenue			UNDERWOOD, DONALD W		
16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3652		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/27/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,484	OSANAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Donald Underwood	3652	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
	If NO period for right is reported above, effer mourement attention printed will apply and will expire S.M.(8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply whith the set or excended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (CB U.S.C. 33). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any camed patient for many failure to reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any camed patient form adjustement. See 37 CFR 17-0406 at
Sta	atus
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
)i:	sposition of Claims
	4) Claim(s) 10-29 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-29</u> is/are rejected.
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
٩p	oplication Papers
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
r	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
	dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 111506 & 101308.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: ___

Application/Control Number: 10/566,484

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of the Information Disclosure Statements filed 10/13/08 and 11/15/06 is acknowledged. The references noted on the statement filed 10/13/08 were considered. Only the US references noted on the statement filed 11/15/06 since copies of the non US references were not received.

The specification is objected to as being improper. The reference to claims 1-9 should be deleted since these claims have been cancelled by applicants.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "supporting a work implement" in line 3 should be deleted. Note "a fork" in line 4 provides a work implement and thus with both the phrase "supporting a work implement" and "a fork" there appears to be two implements. Also "or the like" throughout the claim renders the claim indefinite. This phrase should be deleted throughout the claims.

Regarding claims 11-14 and 17, they have the same deficiencies as claim 1 above.

Further regarding claims 12 and 13, the "may" in the fifth from last line in each of these claims render them indefinite since it is not a positive recitation of structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,484

Art Unit: 3652

Further regarding claim 17 and regarding claims 18-21, it is unclear what structural limitation the last six lines of claim 17 and claims 18-21 provide. It appears that these lines may be implying that the implement is at the same position relative to the horizontal at its extreme positions or is held level at the extreme positions.

Clarification is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Either Arck, et al. or Salna.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, the last five lines of each of these claims do not require a plurality of attachments or that the attachments meet any particular requirement. Note "may" in the first line of these last five lines. Moreover, if two attachments were positively claimed with the differences hinted at by the last five lines they would be met by Arck, figures 1 and 2.

Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Arck, et al.

Note points 17, 15 and 8 form an angle between 0 and 170.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/566,484

Art Unit: 3652

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salna in view of Mozingo.

While the points 34, 35 and 36 in Salna appear to be in line and would meet the angle requirement in the instant claims, it would have been obvious to use any arbitrary angle including a 180 angle as taught by Mozingo since one angle would produce no unobvious result over another. Further note the applicants' specification, page 35, lines 14 and 15.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Underwood whose telephone number is 571-272-6933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thursday 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,484 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Donald Underwood/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652

102208